SIRIWARDENA vs. FERNANDO AND OTHERS

COURT OF APPEAL WIMALACHANDRA J. C. A. L. A. 127/2004

C. A. L. A. 127/2004 D. C. NEGOMBO 5828/L JULY 27, 2001

Civil Procedure Code - Section 754(4), 757(1), 767(1) - Leave to appeal - Computation of the 14 day period - Identical to the computation of 14 days for Notice of appeal.

On the preliminary objection raised that, the application is out of time.

Held:

- (i) The impugned order was made on 15.03.2004. Leave to appeal application was filled on 10.42.004. These two days should be excluded. All Sundays namely 21st, and 29th must also be excluded, then the number of days between 15.03.2004 and 01.04.2004 are exactly 14 days. Section 757(1).
- (iii) Computation of time under Section 754(4) for Notice of Appeal is identical to the computation of 14 days under Section 757(1).

Cases referred to :

- Charlet Nona vs. Babun Singho 2000 3 Sri LR 149(SC)
- Selenchina vs. Mohamed Marikkar and others 2000 3 Sri LR 100 (SC)
- S. F. A. Cooray for Defendant Petitioners

Lakshman Perera for Plaintiff Respondent

December 14, 2004 WIMALACHANDRA J.

This is an application for leave to appeal from the orders (altogether six orders) made by the District Judge of Negombo on 15.03.2004.

When the matter was taken up for inquiry before this Court the learned Coursel for the plainfil-responder insaled a preliminary objection that the defendant-petitioner has not filed the notice of appeal within a period of 14 days from the date when the order appealed from was pronounced, as specified in Section 767(1) of the Civil Procedure Code. Section 757(1) provides that an application for leave to appeal shall be presented to the Court of Appeal with a period of 14 days from the date when the order appealed against was pronounced, exclusive of the day of that date itself and the day on which the petition is presented and of Sundays and public holidiavs.

Therefore it is very clear that in terms of Section 757(1) of the Civil Procedure Code the date of filing the notice of appeal and the date on which the order appealed against is pronounced, should be excluded in the computation of the 14 day period.

In the instant case the date on which the impugned orders were pronounced by the District Judge was 15.03.2004. The leave to appeal application was filed on 01.04.2004. According to Section 757(1) these to days must be excluded All Sinadays analeys, the 21 stan 209th must also be excluded. Then the number of days between 15.03.2004 and also be excluded. Then the number of days between 15.03.2004 and of 10.4.2004 are excluded. Which is within the stipulated period in etrins of Section 757(1) these to be never that the computation of 14 days. Section 754(4) which is in researcd in other of appeal applications and administrations.

In the Supreme Court case of Charlet Nona vs. Babun Singho "
Dheeraraine, J. considered a similar application with regard to the computation of time made under Section 754(4) of the Civil Procedure Code in respect of a notice of appeal against a judgment. His Lordship observed:

"In terms of sub-section 754(4) of the Civil Procedure Code, the notice of appeal shall be presented to the court of the first instance within a period of 14 days from the date when the order appealed from was pronounced, exclusive of that day itself and of the day when the petition is presented, and Sundays and Public Holldrays.

In this case the judgment was pronounced by the District Court on 7th May, 1986. The 11th, 18th and 25th were Sundays, the 22nd, 23rd and 24th were Public Holidays according to the official calendar of 1986. The notice of appeal was filed on 28th which day too has to be excluded from the computation of 14 days in terms of the CPC. Therefore the notice of appeal was in fact filed within the stipulated 14 days."

This position was affirmed by the Supreme Court in the Case of Selenchina vs. Nohamed Mariskan and others¹⁸. In this case as well the Supreme Court considered the computation of time under section 754(4) of other Civil Procedum Code which provides that the notice of appeal shall be presented to the Court of Irist instance within a period of 14 days from the date when the Octive of order appeals dagainst jor provinced excluse of the day of that date itself and of the day when the petition is presented and of Sundays and Public Holdeys. This provision is interitied to Section 737(1) of the Civil Procedure Code, which stipplicates the procedure in respect that the Civil Procedure Code, which stipplicates the procedure in respect to the Civil Procedure Code.

"In terms of the section, the days set out below have to be excluded in computing the period of 14 days in which the notice should be presented.

- The day the judgment from which the appeal is taken is pronounced;
- 2. Intervening Sundays and public holidays;
 - The day the notice of appeal is presented to the Court.

In this case the notice of appeal was presented on 20.10.1986. If that days is excluded, the period of 14 days excluding the date of judgment pronounced (i.e. 30.09.1986) and intervening Sundays and Public holidays would end on 17.10 86 which was a public holiday. The next day on which the notice should have been presented was to 18th, being a Saturday, on which the office of the Court was closed. The next day, the 19th was a Sunday which too had to be excluded in terms of the section. In the circumstances, the notice filled on 20.10.1986 was within the period of 14 days as provided for in Section 2544 (in the Civil Procade) or Section 2544 (in the Civil Procade).

In the circumstances the preliminary objection raised by the plaintiff-respondent that the application for leave to appeal is out of time has no merit.

For the reasons stated above it is my considered view that the notice of appeal was filed within the stipulate period of 14 days in terms of Serion 757(1) of the Civil Procedure Code. That is, the said application was filed within 14 days excluding the date of the order, date of filing and intervening Sundays and Public Holidays. Accordingly the preliminary objection raised by the plantiff-respondent is overruled with costs fixed at IRs. 2500.

Preliminary Objection over-ruled